Icthyofaunal Diversity of Uttrakhand (Devprayag to Haridwar)

Priyanka Sharma
Research Scholar
Mewar University
Rajasthan

ABSTRACT

Present investigation is carried out during December 2012 to April 2013, to assess the diversity and composition of freshwater fishes in river Ganga- Uttrakhand (Devprayag to Haridwar). Uttrakhand, a newly created hill state in India, is enriched with aquatic ecosystem of various disciplines like rivers, streams, lakes and reservoirs. The important rivers are Alakhnanda, Bhagirathi, Bhilangana, Mandakini, Koshi, Ganga and Yamuna. They all contain a very rich and colourful fish fauna. In the present study, we analyze fish diversity of river Ganga at different locations between Haridwar to Devprayag. By this study we explained the effect of human interference, pollution on fish diversity. During the course of study a total of 19 species belonging to 11 families were reported from this region. Prior to reaching Rishikesh it is coupled by another tributary Nayar, which is a recognized breeding ground for most important game fish of Ganga, refereed as Masheer (Tor sp.). Cypriniformes and Cyprinidae were the most species rich order and family of this region. Some endangered are rare fish fauna are also reported in the present investigation.

Key Words-fresh water, diversity, human interference, breeding grounds, endangers.

INTRODUCTION

Studies of freshwater fishes in the Indian subcontinent have been limited to scattered works on commercial fisheries and even these have been largely limited to some of the major river systems like Ganges and Yamuna. Most of the early study on the freshwater systems of the Indian subcontinent on track with the works of British officers working for the East India Company, who took great interest in the natural history of the region. Some early contributions were those of Hamilton-Buchanan in "The Fishes of the Ganghes" (1822) and by others like McClelland (1839) and Jerdon (1849). Some of the most important contributions of such studies were made by Francis Day in his Fishes of India (1875-1978). Extensive literature is now available on the identification and systematic of freshwater fishes of India, starting with Hora's contributions between 1920-1950s and the Hora in the 1930a to 1950s addressed the problem of the anomalous distribution of hill stream fishes in peninsula India: many species belonging to the peninsular part of India were found to be same, or congeneric to, species found in the North East of India and to some species most recent texts by Talwar & Jhingran (1991), and Jayaram (1999). Though most of these contributions have been taxonomic in nature, there exist some works on the bio geographic distributions of fishes in the region as well (Jayaram 1974)

Himalaya remains ignored from fish diversity and river ecology view point. However some work has been conducted by Badola (1975), Sharma(1984), Singh et al.(1987), Dobriyal and Kumar (1988), Bist et al. (2009); Agarawal et al. (2011); Agarwal and Singh (2012) on the ecology and fish fauna of a few of the tributaries of Ganga river system. Small hill-streams are highly torrential with huge attitudinal aviation. These streams provide diversity of habitat for subsistence of varied and large fish fauna. The habitat has been identified as one of the primary western Himalyan streams (Johal et al., 2002) and in few streams of Western Ghats (Arunachalam et al., 1997; Johnson and Arunachalam, 2010).

At present, 32,500 fish species are listed 3,553 species of native fishes are currently recognized and of these 877 occur with in India. At present, 2555 species of finfish have been recorded in the database developed by NBFGR Lucknow of which 877 from freshwater, 133 brackish water and 1,563 are from marine environment, excluding 291 exotic species with information on their taxonomic positions and other biological information (Jena and Sarkar, 2012, NBFGR database 2013). A current study of Sarkar et al, (2012) reports that Gangetic system alone accounting 143 species of fishes which contributes about 20% of fresh water fishes of the total fishes reported in India. Therefore the taxonomic collection apart, not much work has been done on the study of freshwater fishes in the Northern India mainly in Upper Ganga Region. Given the high levels of faunal diversity and endemicity observed so far, there is an urgent need to understand the fish diversity and distribution of this region.

Accordingly with the help of this paper we not only explained fish diversity and division of this region (Devprayag to Haridwar) but also explain the effect of human activities in this region. As per the recent spurt of human activities in this region exploiting its water resources for hydroelectric purposes. Not only are the rivers directly affected by the developmental activities, but they are also affected by other threats like introduction of exotic species, over fishing and the disposal of industrial and domestic waste from the new industries and settlements. Before the rich species diversity in this region of the subcontinent is vanished forever, the documentation of the species found here are well as their distribution is vital; this together with the identification of the threats will help in formulating the needed conversation measures. As an initial step in this direction, the main purpose of this study was to collect data on species richness and distributions that could serve as baseline information to monitor the potential upper Ganga region shows that this region is very high in diversity as well as endemicity.

MATERIAL AND METHOD STUDY AREA
The Ganga River originates at Gomukh and flows down to Ganga sagar travelling a distance of 2525 kms. During its course through eleven states, the river receives numerous tributaries (with characteristic quality, pollution load and biota) including Bhilangana, Alakhnanda, RamGanga, Kali, Ymuna, Gomti, Ghagra, Gandhak and Kosi. The study was conducted in Uttrakhand (Devprayag to Haridwar). Uttrakhand came into existence as 27th state of India on November 9th 2000. It is located between latitude $28^040'$ – $31^o 29'$ N and longitude $77^o 35'$–$81^o 5'$E. It covers about 53483 km[2] area and is inhabited by 8.5 million (according to 2001 counting) people. It encompasses thirteen districts i.e. Uttarkashi, Chamoli, Rudraprayag, Tehri, Garhwal, Dehradun, Pauri Garhwal, Pithoragarh, Champawat, Almora, Bageshwar, Nainital, Udham Singh Nagar and Haridwar. Uttrakhand is enriched with acquatic ecosystem of various disciplines like rivers streams, lakes and rivulets, which have very rich flora and fauna. The climate of region is mainly tropical with a well defined rainy season between June and October, a very mild winter between December and February and a relatively dry pre monsoon summer between March and May.

Figure 1 Uttrakhand

Devprayag (Latitude: $30^o08'49.4''$N; Longitude: $78^o35'$, Elevation: 474m above mean sea level) to Haridwar (Latitude: $29^o57'20.1''$N; Longitude: $78^o10'56.3''$E; Elevation: 290 m above mean sea level) in Tehri Garhwal District in the state

International Journal of Education and Science Research Review
Volume-1, Issue-6   **December- 2014**   **E- ISSN 2348-6457, P- ISSN 2349-1817**
www.ijesrr.org   **Email- editor@ijesrr.org**

of Uttrrakhand, India and Devprayag is the confluence point of rivers Bhagirathi and Alakhnanda, and the river Ganga downstream descent at Rishikesh and travels up to Haridwar in plains. Before reaching Rishikesh, it is joined by another tributary Nayar. The river stretch consists of rapid, riffles and pools. The substrate consists of mature boulders, cobbles and pebbles. Sand is also present at few places in this zone. The river water in this stretch appears clear and clean, and has high transparency with moderate depth. The current velocity range between 0.1-3.0 m/s (Kishore,1998). The water temperature is also moderate and varies between 15-23^{0}C. The flows are substantially fluctuating and the river meanders into few channels at Haridwar d/s of Rishikesh.

Figure 2 Map Showing Study Area i.e. Devprayag to Haridwar

SAMPLING
Sampling was done during period of comparable discharge from a boat along the shoreline and focused on the near shore zone where most of the fishes are found and where our sampling methods are most efficient. A selection of about 150 to 200 m was sampled upstream at each river km marked within study stretch. The 4 selective sites were sampled in Upper Ganga region. Captured fish were stored in a big container in the boat. As sampling was done at each stretch, all fishes were identified, measured (SL) and dropped back to water. The relative density (catch per unit efforts) was explained as the number of individual per 100 meter of sampled shorelines, with a standard width 3.0 m of the sample area.

We collected data on fishes of the Himalayan Rivers from published sources, documents. Checklists and augmented this with primary data from our regular field surveys undertaken during the last six to eight years. We also used online sources (www.fishbase.org) for supplementing data on diversity and distributions of the Himalayan fishes. The elevation distribution range were available for only 179 (60%) out of reported 298 species, which form the basis of our analysis (however, nomenclature changes indicate that there may be marginally less than 298).

OBSERVATION AND RESULT

Table:1 -Taxa of fish fauna observed in the sub-stretch Devprayag to Haridwar

Taxa	NO.OF FISHES IN YEAR (2005)	NO.OF FISHES IN YEAR (2010)
Cyprinidae		
Barilius barila	A	P
B. bendelisis	P	P
B. bola	P	P
B. vagra	P	A
Crossocheilus latius latius	P	P
Danio devario	P	A
D. rerio	P	A
Esomus danricus	P	A
Garra gotyla gotyla	P	P
Labeo angara	A	P
L. calbasu	A	P
L. dero	P	P
L. dyocheilus	P	P
L. gonius	P	A
Puntius sarana sarana	P	A
P. sophore	P	A
P. ticto	P	P
Raiamas bola	A	A
Rasbora daniconius	P	A
Salmostoma bacaila	P	A
Schizothoracthys progastus	A	P
Schizothorax plagiostomus	P	P
S. sinuatus	P	P
Tor putitora	P	P
Tor tor	P	P
Sisoridae		
Bagarius bagarius	P	A
Glyptothorax lineatus	A	P
G. pectinopterus	P	A
Osphronemidae		
Colisa fasciatus	P	A
Balitoridae		
Nemacheilus beavani	P	A
N. botio	P	A
N. montanus	P	A
N. savona	P	A
Cobitidae		
Botio dario	P	A
Belonidae		
Xenantodon cancila	P	A
Channidae		
Channa gauchua	P	A
Mastacembelidae		
Mastacembelus armatus	P	A
Bagridae		
Mystus tengara	P	A
Rita rita	P	A

International Journal of Education and Science Research Review

Volume-1, Issue-6　　　　　**December- 2014**　　　　　**E- ISSN 2348-6457, P- ISSN 2349-1817**
www.ijesrr.org　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　**Email- editor@ijesrr.org**

Clariidae		
Clarias batrachus	A	P
Schilbeidae		
Clupisoma garua	P	A
Mugilidae		
Rhinomugil corsula	A	P
Total	35	19

Devprayag is the meeting point of the rivers Bhagirathi and Alakhnanda, and the river Ganga downstream descends at Rishikesh and traverses up to the Haridwar in plains. Prior to reaching Rishikesh, it is coupled by another tributary Nayar, which is recognized breeding ground for the most important game fish of Ganga, referred as Mahseer (Tor sp.).Cypriniformes and Cyprinid were the most species rich order and family of this region. The Upper mountain section i.e. up to Devprayag, 39 fish species were reported in Bhagirathi from Gangotri to Devprayag and 42 species in the Alakhnanda from Mana to Devprayag (Singh et al., 1987). Recently, Nautical et al., (2007) reported brown trout (Salmo trutta morph fario Linnaeus, 1758) in a left side tributary (Kherag Gad) of the Bhagirathi river downstream of Bhaironghati. Twenty species were reported in the Alakhnanda river and its tributaries near Up and Down Streams of the proposed barrage and power house site of Vishnugad Pipalkoti Hydro-Electric Project. On the other hand, Khanna and Badola(1994) recorded 30 fish species around Rishikesh-Haridwar section in the foot hill section of mountain zone. In the foothill section, Negi andf Malik (2005) recorded 35 species at Rishikesh and Natiyal et al. (2010) recorded 20 species between Kaudiyala and Rishikesh. The most typical fish in the region were Cyprinidae, snow trauts (Schizothorax sp, Schizothoraichthys sp. Torchelynoides sp) Balitoridae (Schistura sp.) and Sisoridae (Pseudecheneis sp., Glyptothorax sp.). Vital fishes reported in sub stretch consist of minor carps (e.g. Barilius sp, Puntius sp.) major (Labeo sp.), Mahseer (Tor sp.) and catfishes (Bagarius bagarius, Rita rita) (refer Table).

The river stretch consists of rapid, riffles and pools. The substrate consists of mature boulders, cobbles and pebbles. Sand is also present at few places in this zone. The river water in this stretch appears clear and clean, and has high transparency with moderate depth. The current velocity range between 0.1-3.0 m/s (Kishore, 1998) the water temperature is also moderate and varies between15-23^{0}C. The flows are substantially fluctuating and the river meanders into few channels at Haridwar d/s of Rishikesh.

CONCLUSION

Fishery resources are accessible in the form of rivers and their tributaries, reservoirs, wetlands, lakes, ponds and tanks exhibiting a rich genetic diversity. However, owing to ever growing demand of water in this region, these bio resources are experiencing grave threats to mutually diversity and ecosystem firmness. Along with a number of fishes are vanishing due to several anthropogenic factors. Throughout the last few decades, the fish biodiversity of the country are declining swiftly due to anthropogenic environmental degradation like urbanization, damming, abstraction of water for irrigation, power generation and pollution, which have subjected our natural water bodies in general and rivers, in particular to severe stress with disturbing effects on fresh water fish diversity.

Along with that this has modified the continuums of the Ganga in the examined stretch. The continuum of the fauna depends on their dispersal ability to essential for population dynamics, and since aquatic organisms can disperse only if there are no barriers, their dispersal was hindered, inhibited and impaired. This may have lead to turn down in the resemblance in the UGP, where no major river from different bio geographic zone is joining the Ganga. There is a serious lacuna about the natural range of each species (however small in size) as there have been no dedicated research program for Ganga with economic implications for the country, specially irrigation and the variety of livelihood it provides to poverty ridden area of North India. For illustration, it is well known that the snow trouts S.richardsonii and S. plagiostomus reside form little below Badrinath to Haridwar and Tor putitora reside in the foothill (even Bijnor) but migrate even beyond Srinagar , Alakhnanda and Tehri (not now because of Tehri Dam). If these fishes are not found in impounded areas then it is obvious that the continuum does not exists but then the fish needs the food web and each constituent has an ecological function in the ecosystem. Hence there is emphasis on the knowledge of the various components of Biodiversity and their distribution to know the health of the ecosystem. Changes in division indicate the disturbance in the environment.

FOR A BETTER FUTURE

For a better tomorrow we must remain a strong monitoring on the varying environment. Sustainable fishery is not about fishing for monetary purposes only it has also a great concern to save the fish habitat or aquatic environment including fishes and other aquatic organisms to keep the ecosystem continual as far as possible. These freshwater

resources are also our life supporting system that cannot be subjugated any more for economic purposes only. Supreme sustainable yield should be changed accordingly to the changing environment and it must sufficient with fish population of a particular species. Any divergence would lead to further erosion of biodiversity and would be detrimental for fisheries and environment as a whole. Right information at right time can save this biodiversity. So to change the trends of biodiversity in optimistic direction the role of right information input and information technology as a tool is quite predictable. There are a number of different initiatives in progress at present, all resembling the need to inventory, records and monitor freshwater fish diversity from different points of view. A collective effort to conclude the priorities and to concentrate the available resources on these is surely an essential prerequisite for a better future.

REFERENCES

1. Agrawal, N. K., Rawat, U. S., Thapliyal, B. L. and Raghuvanshi, S. K. (2003). Seasonal variation in physico-chemical characteristics of the river Bhagirathi and its impact on phytoplankton and benthic entomofauna. In: Proceeding of XII National Symposium on Environment, pp.430-437.
2. Arya Mohit, Rao R.J. and Mishra Anand Kumar, Ecology and diversity of fish fauna in the Sakhya Sagar lake, Shivpuri,Madhya Pradesh, India, J. Environ. Res.Develop., 7(2A), 973-978, (2012).
3. Arunachalam, M. 2000. Assemblage structure of stream fishes in the Western Ghats (India). Hydrobiologia 430: 1–31
4. .Ayyoade, A. A., Agrawal, N. K. and Chandola Saklani, A. (2009). Changes in physico-chemical features and plankton of two regulated high altitude rivers Garhwal Himalayas, India.European Journal of Scientific Research, 27(1): 72-92.
5. Bhat, A. 2001. New report of the species, Horabagrus brachysoma in the Uttara Kannada District of Karnataka.J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 98: 294–296.
6. Bhat, A. 2002. A study of the diversity and ecology of freshwater fishes of four river systems of the Uttara Kannada District,Karnataka, India. PhD Dissertation, Indian Institute of Science,Bangalore, India, 178 pp.
7. Bhat, A. & K.C. Jayaram. On a new species of a Bagrid catfish from Uttara Kannada Distt., Karnataka, Batasio sharavatiensis Anuradha Bhat and Jayaram K.C. (Siluriformes, Bagridae).J. Bombay Nat. Hist. 00: 000–000.
8. Bhat Jahangeer A., Kumar Munesh Negi,Ajeet K. and Todaria N.P., Anthropogenic pressure along altitudinal gradient in a protected area of Garhwal Himalaya,India, J.Environ.Res.Develop.,7(1), 62-65,(2012).
9. Binaxi, (2006). Assessment of water quality of the river Ganga from Shivpuri to Haridwar segment of the Garhwal Himalaya in relation to algae. D.Phil. Thesis, HNB Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttranchal, India.
10. Bunge, J. & M. Fitzpatrick 1993. Estimating the number of species: A review. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 88: 364–373.Chao, A. 1984. Non-parametric estimation of the number of classes in a population. Scand. J. Stat. 11: 265–270.
11. Colwell, R.K.&J.A. Coddington 1994. Estimating terrestrial biodiversity through extrapolation. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 345: 101–118.
12. Daniels, R.J.R. 2001. Endemic fishes of the Western Ghats and the Satpura Hypothesis. Curr. Sci. 81(3): 240–244.
13. Day, F. (1875–78). Fishes of India; being a natural history of the fishes known to inhabit the seas and freshwaters of India, Burma, and Ceylon. Text and atlas in 4 parts. London: xx+778,195 pls.
14. DeSilva, S.S.&K.Kortmulder. 1977.Someaspects of the biology of three species of Puntius (=Barbus) (Pisces, Cyprinidae),endemic to Sri Lanka. Neth. J. Zool. 22: 182–194.
15. Easa, P.S. & C.P. Shaji. 1997. Freshwater fish diversity in Kerala part of the Nilgiri Biosphere reserve. Curr. Sci. 73:180–182.
16. Hamilton-Buchanan, F. 1822. An account of the fishes of river Ganges and its branches. Edinburgh and London,vii + 405 pp.
17. Hamilton, F. (1822). An account of the fishes found in the river Ganges and its branches.
18. Edinburgh & London. An account of the fishes found in the river Ganges and its branches.: ivii + 1-405, Pls. 1-39.
19. Harris, R.J. 1985.APrimer of Multivariate Statistics, 2nd edition,Academic Publications International, Orlando, 576 pp.
20. Heltshe, J.F. & Forrester, N.E. 1983. Estimating species richness using the jacknife procedure. Biometrics 39: 1–11.
21. Hora, S.L. 1949. Satpura Hypothesis of the Distribution of the Malayan Fauna and Flora to Peninsular India. Proc. Nat. Inst.Sci. India 15: 309–314.
22. Hurlbert, S. H. 1971. The non-concept of species diversity: A critique and alternative parameters. Ecology 52: 577–586.
23. Jayaram, K.C. 1974. Ecology and distribution of fresh-water fishes, amphibia and reptiles. pp. 517–584. In: M.S. Mani (ed.) Ecology and Biogeography in India, Dr W. Junk, B.V. Publ.,The Hague.
24. Jayaram, K.C. 1999. The freshwater fishes of the Indian Region.Narendra Publishing House, Delhi-6, 551 pp.
25. Jerdon, T.C. 1849. On the freshwater fishes of Southern India.Madras. J. Lit. Sci. 15: 302–346.
26. Kishor, B. (1998). Foraging patterns of Tor putitora (Hamillton) with reference to entomofauna and its population dispersal. D.Phil. Thesis, HNB Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttranchal, India.
27. Kottelat, M. 1984. Zoogeography of fishes from Indochinese inland waters with an annoted checklist. Bull. Zool. Mus. Univ.Amsterdam 12: 1–56.
28. Ludwig, J.A. & J.F. Reynolds. 1988. Statistical Ecology: A primer on methods and computing. JohnWiley and Sons, USA,336 pp.
29. McClelland, J. 1839. Indian Cyprinidae. 19, Asiatic Researches,Bishop College Press, Calcutta, 217–468.

30. Mishra Anand Kumar, Mathur R., Gupta R.B. and Arya Mohit, Limnological study of Sakhya Sagar lake, Shivpuri (M.P.),India, J. Environ.Res.Develop., 4(4), 993-998, (2010).

31. Moyle, P.B. & F.R. Senanayake. 1984. Resource partitioning among the fishes of rainforest in Sri Lankan. Journal of Zoology (London) 202: 195–223.

32. Nautiyal P., Shivam, A., Verma J. and Semwal, V. P. (2007) Bhagirathi river- An endangered ecosystem. In: Proceedings of DAE-BRNS National Symposium on Limnology, pp. 164-166, Paliwal Printers, Udaipur, India.

33. Nautiyal, P. (2010). Food chains of Ganga River ecosystems in the Himalayas. Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management, 13(4): 362-373.

34. Nautiyal, P., Shivam, A., Verma, J. and Dwivedi, R. (2010). Quarterly progress report (September 09) for the Living Ganga Programme with respect to environmental flow. WWF, New Delhi, India.

35. Negi, K. S. and Malik, D. S. (2005). Fish fauna of Ganga river at Rishikesh. Himalayan Journal of Environmental Zoology. 19(2): 145-148.

36. Palmer, M.W. 1990. The estimation of species richness by extrapolation. Ecology 71: 1195–1198.

37. Ponnaiah, A.G. & A. Gopalakrishnan 2001. (ed.). Endemic Fish diversity of Western Ghats. NBFGR-NATP Publication – 1.National Bureau of Fish Genetic Resources, Lucknow, UP,India, 347 pp.

38. Rajan, S. 1963. Ecology of the fishes of the rivers Pykara and Moyar (Nilgiris), South India. Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. 58(B):291–323.

39. Sanders, H.L. 1968. Marine benthos diversity: A comparative study. Am. Nat. 102: 243–282.

40. Shaji, C.P. & P.S. Easa. 1995. Freshwater fish diversity in Wayanad, Kerala, South India. J. Zool. Soc. Kerala 5: 30–36.(Also refer to Easa and Shaji, 1997).

41. Shaji, C.P. & P.S. Easa. 1998. Status and Distribution of endemic freshwater fishes in Kerala, Western Ghats, India. Tigerpaper 25: 21–24.

42. Sharma, R. C., Bahuguna, M. and Chauhan, P. (2008). Periphyton diversity in Bhagirathi: preimpoundment study of Tehri dam reservoir. Journal of Environmental Sciences & Engineering, 50(4): 255-262.

43. Sharma, R. C. (1988). Fish and fisheries of snow fed river Bhagirathi from of Garhwal Himalayas. In: Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences, 58 (B), II: 181-192.

44. E.G. 1951. On a collection of fishes from Anamalai and Nelliampathi hill ranges (Western Ghats) with notes on its zoogeographical significances. J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 49: 670–681

45. Singh, H. R. (2008). Ecological parameters of the Bhagirathi, Final Technical Report, submitted to National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC), India, pp. 1-78.

46. Singh, H. R., Badola, S. P. and Dobriyal, A. K. (1983). Geographical distributional list of Ichthyofauna of the Garhwal Himalaya with some new records. Journal of Bombay Natural History Society, 84: 126-132.

47. Singh, H. R., Nautiyal, P., Dobriyal, A. K., Pokhriyal, R. C., Negi, M., Baduni, V., Nautiyal, R.,Agrawal, N. K., and Gautam, A. (1994). Water quality of the river Ganga (Garhwal Himalayas). Acta Hydrobiologica, 36: 3-15.

48. Smith, E.P. & G. van Belle. 1984. Non-parametric estimation of species richness. Biometrics 40: 119–129.

49. Sykes, W.H. 1839. An account of the fishes of the Dukhen.In Proceedings of learned societies. Zoological Society. Ann.Mag. Nat. Hist. (n.s.) 4: 54–62.

50. Talwar, P.K., & A. Jhingran. 1991. Inland fishes of India and adjacent countries. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 2 volumes: xix + 1158 pp.

51. Welcomme, R.L. 1979. Fisheries ecology of floodplain rivers.Longman, London, 317 pp.

52. Welcomme, R.L. 1987. Riverine and swamp fisheries. Arch.Hydrobiol. Beih. Ergebn. Limnol. 28: 237–238.

53. Wikramanayake, E.D. & P.B. Moyle. 1989. Ecological structure of tropical fish assemblages in wet-zone streams of Sri Lanka. Journal of Zoology (London) 218:503